

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/020,404	12/14/2001	Thomas M. Lancy	83682AEK	8712
7590 06/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Paul A. Leipold			PATTERSON, MARC A	
Patent Legal Sta		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street				
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/020,404	LANEY ET AL.	•				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Marc A Patterson	1772					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	S				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Ștatus								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/25/	<i>/</i> 05.						
2a)⊠		action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22-31 and 35</u> is/are pending in t	he application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20,22-31 and 35</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	,						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau	•	- Coorda III III Chaire Cag					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	-					

Application/Control Number: 10/020,404

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## REPEATED REJECTIONS

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 – 20, 22 – 31 and 35 as being unpatentable over Allen et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,057,961), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

2. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1-20, 22-31 and 35 as being unpatentable over Allen et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,057,961), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 7 of the remarks dated February 10, 2005, that Allen et al state that the sheets disclosed comprise a substantially equal number of scatterers and therefore does not teach a frequency variation of scatterers.

However, the statement by Allen et al, which begins 'if the two sheets present a substantially equal number of scatterers,' clearly discloses that the sheets have an equal or unequal number of scatterers.

Applicant also argues on page 7 that Allen et al do not disclose voids having a circular cross section.

However, as stated on page 5 of the previous Action, the voids are formed by a disperse phrase which is spherical (column 14, lines 14 - 17).

Applicant also argues on page 7 that diffuse transmission is different from the quantity of transmission efficiency, and is not disclosed by Allen et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,404

Art Unit: 1772

However, Allen et al disclose the variation of physical properties such as thickness to affect transmission properties (column 6, lines 56 - 61), and therefore to affect diffuse transmission.

Applicant also argues, on page 8, that Allen et al do not disclose a void frequency variation between two layers.

However, as stated above, Allen et al discloses that the sheets interchangeably have an equal or unequal number of scatterers.

Applicant also argues on page 9 that the previous Action assumes that void volume can be used to specify a frequency of voids.

However, it is unclear where the previous Action assumes that void volume can be used to specify a frequency of voids.

Applicant also argues on page 9 that Allen et al does nor teach how to vary physical parameters of the film to obtain desired transmission properties.

However, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, Allen et al teaches that a variation in the parameters causes a variation in transmission properties, and it is therefore not necessary for Allen et al to disclose a method of varying the parameters.

Applicant also argues, on page 11 that the particle size of Allen et al is insufficient to specify the volume of the particle and that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have arrived at the claimed particle size because it is 13 times larger than that of Allen et al.

However, the particles disclosed by Allen et al are spherical, as stated on page 5 of the previous Action, and the particle is therefore sufficient to specify volume, and it is unclear why one of ordinary skill in the art would be prevented from arriving at the

Application/Control Number: 10/020,404

Art Unit: 1772

claimed particle size because of the difference because of the claimed particle size and that of Allen et al.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mare Pettoro; -

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER